THE HONORABLE BARBARA J. ROTHSTEIN 1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 3 AT SEATTLE 4 5 No. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR WADE K. MARLER, DDS, et al., 6 Plaintiffs, PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO CERTIFY 7 **QUESTIONS TO THE WASHINGTON** v. STATE SUPREME COURT 8 ASPEN AMERICAN INSURANCE ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED COMPANY, 9 Defendant. 10 11 No. 2:20-cv-00809-BJR 12 KARA MCCULLOCH DMD MSD PLLC, et 13 Plaintiffs, 14 v. 15 VALLEY FORGE INSURANCE 16 COMPANY, et al., 17 Defendants. 18 19 CABALLERO, No. 3:20-cv-05437-BJR 20 Plaintiff, 21 v. 22 MASSACHUSETTS BAY INSURANCE COMPANY, 23 Defendant. 24 25 26 PLS.' MOTION TO CERTIFY QUESTIONS KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P. (Case Nos. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR; 2:20-cv-00809-BJR; 3:20-cv-05437-

BJR; 2:20-cv-00627-BJR; 2:20-cv-00620-BJR; 2:20-cv-00597-BJR; 2:20-cv-01176-BJR; 2:20-cv-00661-BJR)- 1

		¬
1	CHORAK, et al.,	No. 2:20-cv-00627-BJR
2	Plaintiffs,	
3	v.	
4	HARTFORD CASUALTY INSURANCE	
5	COMPANY, et al.,	
6	Defendants.	
7	DACIEIC ENDODONTICS D.S4 -1	No. 2:20 av. 00620 DID
8	PACIFIC ENDODONTICS, P.S., et al.,	No. 2:20-cv-00620-BJR
9	Plaintiffs,	
10	V.	
11	OHIO CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
13		
14	NGUYEN, et al.,	No. 2:20-cv-00597-BJR
15	Plaintiffs,	
16	V.	
17 18	TRAVELERS CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA, et al.,	
19	Defendants.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	PLS.' MOTION TO CERTIFY QUESTIONS (Case Nos. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR; 2:20-cv-00809-BJR; 3:2 BJR; 2:20-cv-00627-BJR; 2:20-cv-00620-BJR; 2:20-cv-02:20-cv-01176-BJR; 2:20-cv-00661-BJR)- 2	

1 LA COCINA DE OAXACA LLC, No. 2:20-cy-01176-BJR 2 Plaintiff, 3 v. 4 TRI-STATE INSURANCE COMPANY OF 5 MINNESOTA, Defendant. 6 7 8 MARK GERMACK DDS, individually and on No. 2:20-cv-00661-BJR behalf of all others similarly situated, 9 Plaintiff. 10 v. 11 THE DENTISTS INSURANCE COMPANY, 12 Defendant. 13 14 15 PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO CERTIFY QUESTIONS TO THE WASHINGTON STATE SUPREME COURT 16 In the Plaintiffs' Omnibus Opposition to Defendants' Motions to Dismiss ("Omnibus 17 18 Opposition") filed February 12, 2020, Plaintiffs note this Court's discretion to certify questions 19 of state law to the Washington Supreme Court when the questions are not "clearly determined" 20 under existing law, and doing so would "save time, energy, and resources and help[] build a 21 cooperative judicial federalism." Durant v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., No. C15-1710 RAJ, 22 23 24 ¹ In addition to the actions consolidated for omnibus briefing, this Motion is further made in one action not 25 consolidated in the omnibus briefing, Mark Germack DDS v. Dentists Ins. Co., No. 2:20-cv-0661-BJR (W.D. Wash.). 26 PLS.' MOTION TO CERTIFY QUESTIONS KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P. (Case Nos. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR; 2:20-cv-00809-BJR; 3:20-cv-05437-1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384 BJR; 2:20-cv-00627-BJR; 2:20-cv-00620-BJR; 2:20-cv-00597-BJR; 2:20-cv-01176-BJR; 2:20-cv-00661-BJR)- 3

2017 WL 2930512, at *2 (W.D. Wash. July 10, 2017) (alteration in original) (quoting *Lehman Bros. v. Schein*, 416 U.S. 386, 391 (1974)).

There is a robust history in this District and Circuit of certifying important questions of state insurance law. *See Peoples v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 194 Wn.2d 771, 774, 452 P.3d 1218 (2019) (certification from this District regarding application of Washington Consumer Protection Act to insurance claim denials); *T-Mobile USA Inc. v. Selective Ins. Co. of Am.*, 194 Wn.2d 413, 416, 450 P.3d 150 (2019) (certification from the Ninth Circuit regarding whether an insurance company is bound by its agent's written representation); *Durant v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 191 Wn.2d 1, 5, 419 P.3d 400 (2018) (certification from this District regarding insurer's compliance with regulations on automobile coverages); *Ohio Sec. Ins. Co. v. Axis Ins. Co.*, 190 Wn.2d 348, 349, 413 P.3d 1028 (2018) (certification from this District regarding service of process on insurers).

Moreover, this tradition of looking to the Washington Supreme Court includes certifying questions on the meaning ascribed to terms in insurance policies under Washington law—the core of the issues now before this Court. In *Boeing Co. v. Aetna Cas. & Sur. Co.*, 113 Wn.2d 869, 873, 784 P.2d 507 (1990), the Hon. William H. Dwyer certified the question of whether environmental response costs constitute "damages" within the meaning of liability policies, and the resulting opinion from the Washington Supreme Court created a hallmark opinion for deciding policy interpretation issues in the state of Washington. *See also Queen Anne Park Homeowners Ass'n v. State Farm Fire & Cas. Co.*, 183 Wn.2d 485, 487, 352 P.3d 790 (2015) (certification from the Ninth Circuit on the meaning of "collapse" under Washington law in an insurance policy); *Kitsap County v. Allstate Ins. Co.*, 136 Wn.2d 567, 571, 964 P.2d 1173 (1998)

PLS.' MOTION TO CERTIFY QUESTIONS

(Case Nos. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR; 2:20-cv-00809-BJR; 3:20-cv-05437-BJR; 2:20-cv-00627-BJR; 2:20-cv-00620-BJR; 2:20-cv-00597-BJR;

2:20-cv-01176-BJR; 2:20-cv-00661-BJR)- 4

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

(certification from this District regarding the scope of coverage for "personal injury" under liability insurance policies);

Plaintiffs will not repeat the discussion from their Omnibus Opposition addressing the current state of Washington law on the key coverage questions presented in these actions. Two circumstances developed over the course of their preparation of the Omnibus Opposition which informed their ultimate conclusion to seek certification.

First, two federal trial courts in Ohio have certified COVID-19 coverage cases for immediate review. In *Neuro-Communication Services, Inc. et al. v. The Cincinnati Insurance Co. et al.*, No. 4:20-cv-01275 (N.D. Ohio), the court certified similar coverage questions to the Ohio Supreme Court, noting the importance to the public of the state-law insurance issues. *See* Order of Certification to the Supreme Court of Ohio, *Neuro-Commc'n Servs.*, No. 4:20-cv-01275 (N.D. Ohio Jan. 19, 2021), Dkt. # 43 (attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Ian Birk in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion to Certify Questions to the Washington Supreme Court ("Birk Declaration"), filed herewith).

At the same time, in *Henderson Road Restaurant Systems, Inc. v. Zurich American Insurance Co.*, No. 1:20 CV 1239, 2021 WL 168422 (N.D. Ohio Jan. 19, 2021), the court granted summary judgment to the policyholders finding coverage for a COVID-19 shutdown under a policy covering "direct physical loss," ruling that, "Plaintiffs have shown that the state orders leading to the restaurants' closings were caused by a fortuitous event. As argued by Plaintiffs, no one could have anticipated that state governments would issue orders shutting down or greatly restricting Plaintiffs' restaurants – this was an 'occurrence of chance.'" *Id.* at *13. The court certified its ruling for immediate appeal under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b). This was

PLS.' MOTION TO CERTIFY QUESTIONS (Case Nos. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR; 2:20-cv-00809-BJR; 3:20-cv-05437-BJR; 2:20-cv-00627-BJR; 2:20-cv-00620-BJR; 2:20-cv-00597-BJR; 2:20-cv-01176-BJR; 2:20-cv-00661-BJR)- 5

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

because the coverage claim "involve[d] a controlling question of law as to which there is substantial ground for difference of opinion" and that "an immediate appeal of the legal issues in this case would accelerate the final disposition of this case and, if affirmed, provide the most expedient path to the economic relief sought by Plaintiffs." *Id.* at *17. Certification to the state court of last resort would be a more direct means of obtaining a binding answer on state law.

Second, exemplifying the above point, in the briefs submitted to the Ninth Circuit in the appeal of the dismissal order in *Mudpie, Inc. v. Travelers Casualty Insurance Co. of America*, --
F. Supp. 3d ----, No. 20-CV-03213-JST, 2020 WL 5525171 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 14, 2020), the policyholder has sought certification to the California Supreme Court and the insurer has opposed this relief. *Id.* at *1. Appearing as an *amicus curiae*, the American Association for Justice has supported certification. Copies of the appellate briefs and the *amicus curiae* brief, which were filed in January and February 2021, are attached as Exhibits 2–4 to the Birk Declaration. The *Mudpie* case exemplifies the fact that the Ninth Circuit, no less than this Court, is bound by controlling decisions of the Washington Supreme Court on Washington law.

Accordingly, the desirousness of finality articulated by the *Henderson Road* court in certifying the coverage issue for immediate appeal under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b) would be best served by certification to the Washington Supreme Court under RCW 2.60.020.

Therefore, by this Motion, Plaintiffs:

(1) certify that they have conferred with counsel for the Defendant insurers on the substantive issue presented in this Motion, without agreed resolution;² and

² In addition to conferring in the above-captioned actions, counsel have conferred in the recently filed action entitled *Cadecus LLC d/b/a Cafe Racer v. Scottsdale Insurance Co.*, No. 2:21-cv-00050-BJR (W.D. Wash.). Counsel will PLS.' MOTION TO CERTIFY QUESTIONS

Keller Rohrback L.L.P.

- (2) move that the Court certify to the Washington Supreme Court the following questions of state law:
- (a) Does being physically deprived of the ability to use covered property directly as a result of the Governor's shut-down orders constitute a "direct physical loss of" such property?
- (b) Does Washington's efficient proximate cause rule require a factual determination of the predominant cause of an individual business's loss, before a virus (or other) exclusion may be applied to bar coverage?

DATED this 18th day of February, 2021.

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

By: <u>s/ Amy Williams-Derry</u> By: s/Lynn L. Sarko By: s/ Gretchen Freeman Cappio By: s/ Ian S. Birk By: s/ Irene M. Hecht By: s/ Nathan L. Nanfelt Amy Williams-Derry, WSBA #28711 Lynn Lincoln Sarko, WSBA #16569 Gretchen Freeman Cappio, WSBA #29576 Ian S. Birk, WSBA #31431 Irene M. Hecht, WSBA #11063 Nathan Nanfelt, WSBA #45273 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 623-1900 Fax: (206) 623-3384 Email: awilliams-derry@kellerrohrback.com Email: lsarko@kellerrohrback.com Email: gcappio@kellerrohrback.com Email: ibirk@kellerrohrback.com Email: ihecht@kellerrohrback.com

further address whether to bring a certification motion in that action once the insurer has responded to the complaint.

PLS.' MOTION TO CERTIFY QUESTIONS (Case Nos. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR; 2:20-cv-00809-BJR; 3:20-cv-05437-BJR; 2:20-cv-00627-BJR; 2:20-cv-00620-BJR; 2:20-cv-01176-BJR; 2:20-cv-00661-BJR)- 7

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

1	Email: nnanfelt@kellerrohrback.com
2	By: <u>s/ Alison Chase</u>
3 4	Alison Chase, pro hac vice forthcoming 801 Garden Street, Suite 301 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Telephone: (805) 456-1496 Fax: (805) 456-1497
5	Email: achase@kellerrohrback.com
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Nguyen et al., Pacific Endodontics, et al., Chorak et al., Marler et al.,
7	McCulloch, et al., Caballero, La Cocina de
8	Oaxaca LLC, Owens Davies, P.S., Mark Germack DDS, and The Seattle Symphony Orchestra
9	OWENS DAVIES, P.S.
10	
11	By: <u>s/ Matthew B. Edwards</u> Matthew B. Edwards, WSBA #18332 1115 West Bay Drive, Suite 302
12	Olympia, WA 98502
13	Telephone: (206) 203-1900 Email: medwards@owensdavies.com
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff Owens Davies, P.S.
15	RUIZ & SMART PLLC
16	By: <u>s/ William C. Smart</u> By: <u>s/ Isaac Ruiz</u>
17	By: s/ Kathryn M. Knudsen
18	William C. Smart, WSBA #8192 Isaac Ruiz, WSBA #35237
19	Kathryn M. Knudsen, WSBA #41075 1200 5 th Avenue, Suite 1220
20	Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 203-1900
21	Email: <u>wsmart@plaintifflit.com</u> Email: <u>iruiz@plaintifflit.com</u>
22	Email: kknudsen@plaintifflit.com
23	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Jennifer Strelow, DMD and Shokofeh Tabaraie DDS PLLC
24	HACKETT, BEECHER & HART
25	By: s/ Brent W. Beecher
26	PLS.' MOTION TO CERTIFY QUESTIONS KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.
	(Case Nos. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR; 2:20-cv-00809-BJR; 3:20-cv-05437-BJR; 2:20-cv-00627-BJR; 2:20-cv-00620-BJR; 2:20-cv-00597-BJR; 2:20-cv-01176-BJR; 2:20-cv-00661-BJR)-8

1	Brent W. Beecher, WSBA #31095
2	601 Union Street, Suite 2600 Seattle, WA 98101
	Telephone: (206) 787-1830
3	Email: bbeecher@hackettbeecher.com
4	
5	Attorneys for Seattle Bakery, LLC, CSQBKR2018, LLC, Piroshky Piroshky Bakery, LLC,
6	Piroshky Baking Company, LLC, and SCRBKR2017, LLC
7	THE LOYD LAW FIRM, P.L.L.C.
8	By: s/ Shannon Loyd
9	Shannon Loyd
	12703 Spectrum Drive, Suite 201
10	San Antonio, Texas 78249
11	Telephone:(210) 775-1424
11	Facsimile:(210) 775-1410
12	Email: shannon@theloydlawfirm.com
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff J Bells LLC
14	GORDON TILDEN THOMAS & CORDEL LLP
15	By: s/ Mark A. Wilner
16	Mark A. Wilner, WSBA #31550 One Union Square
17	600 University Street, Suite 2915
1,	Seattle, WA 98101
18	Telephone: (206) 467-6477
10	Fax: (206) 467-6292
19	Email: <u>mwilner@gordontilden.com</u>
20	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Suneet Bath and
21	Noskenda Inc.
22	GORDON TILDEN THOMAS & CORDEL LLP
23	By: s/ Franklin D. Cordell
24	By: <u>s/ Kasey D. Huebner</u>
	Franklin D. Cordell, WSBA #26392
25	Kasey D. Huebner, WSBA #32890
26	One Union Square
20	PLS.' MOTION TO CERTIFY QUESTIONS (Case Nos. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR; 2:20-cv-00809-BJR; 3:20-cv-05437-BJR; 2:20-cv-00627-BJR; 2:20-cv-00620-BJR; 2:20-cv-00597-BJR; 2:20-cv-01176-BJR; 2:20-cv-00661-BJR)- 9 KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P. 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101-3052 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

Case 2:20-cv-00661-BJR Document 80 Filed 02/18/21 Page 10 of 10

600 University Street, Suite 2915 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 467-6477 Fax: (206) 467-6292 Email: fcordell@gordontilden.com Email: khuebner@gordontilden.com Attorneys for Plaintiff The Seattle Symphony Orchestra PLS.' MOTION TO CERTIFY QUESTIONS KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P. (Case Nos. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR; 2:20-cv-00809-BJR; 3:20-cv-05437-

BJR; 2:20-cv-00627-BJR; 2:20-cv-00620-BJR; 2:20-cv-00597-BJR;

2:20-cv-01176-BJR; 2:20-cv-00661-BJR)- 10